| Name: | Score: | Teacher Name: |
|-------|--------|---------------|
| | | |

Text-Based Argument Writing School-wide Rubric

| Text-Based Argument Writing School-wide Rubric | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Expectations | Exceeds Standard 4 | Meets Standard 3 | Nearly Meets Standard 2 | Below Standard 1 | | | |
| Establishes a Context Must meet standard on this | Skillfully selects and summarizes key ideas to establish context appropriate to audience by using tools such as precise language, descriptive language, authoritative voice and/or persona. | Selects and summarizes key ideas to establish context appropriate to audience by using tools such as precise language, descriptive language, authoritative voice and/or persona. <i>W9-12.1&2</i> | Attempts to select and summarize key ideas to establish context but selections may be invalid, insufficient, or unclear. | Does not select and summarize key ideas to set context. | | | |
| expectation to meet the overall standard | Establishes an <i>insightful</i> interpretive claim/assertion in the form of a thesis when responding to a given prompt. | *Establishes an interpretive claim/assertion in the form of a valid thesis when responding to a given prompt. <i>W.9-12.1&2</i> | Attempts an interpretive claim/assertion in the form of a thesis but thesis may not address the prompt or may be invalid or unclear. | Does not state a thesis. | | | |
| Demonstrates Critical Thinking | Skillfully fulfills all discipline-specific and task requirements. | Fulfills all discipline-specific and task requirements. | Attempts to fulfill all discipline-specific and task requirements, but one element may be inaccurate or incomplete. | Does not fulfill several discipline-specific and task requirements. | | | |
| Must meet standard on this expectation to meet the overall standard | Skillfully develops precise, logical, & knowledgeable claims by clarifying and analyzing the significance of the relationships between claims, reasons, & evidence. | *Develops precise and logical claims (and counterclaims when appropriate) by clarifying and analyzing the relationships between claims, reasons, and evidence. <i>W.9-10.1b, c</i> | Attempts to develop claims, but some analysis lacks logic, clarity, and/or accuracy, or analysis is insufficient. | Most analysis lacks logic, clarity and accuracy, or no analysis is present. | | | |
| | Interprets text by making valid inferences and drawing <i>complex</i> conclusions based on an <i>insightful</i> reading of the text(s). | *Interprets text by making valid inferences and drawing credible claims/conclusions based on an accurate reading of the text(s). W.9-10.1a,2a,b; R19-10.2-6; RL.9-10.1-6,&10 | Attempts to interpret text by making inferences and conclusions, but interpretation may be inaccurate, incomplete, or cause confusion. | Little or no interpretation of the reading. | | | |
| | Supports interpretation and conclusions by selecting <i>the most</i> significant and relevant evidence appropriate to the audience's knowledge of the topic, accurately citing evidence as appropriate. | *Supports interpretation and conclusions by selecting significant, relevant, and sufficient evidence (e.g. facts, extended definitions, concrete details, strong and thorough textual evidence, and prior knowledge) appropriate to the audience's knowledge of the topic, accurately citing evidence when appropriate. <i>W.9-10.2b,8.9</i> ; <i>RI9-10.1</i> ; <i>RL.9-10.1-3,&10</i> | Attempts to support interpretation and conclusions, but some evidence lacks significance, relevance, or sufficiency. Does not cite some evidence, or cites evidence inaccurately and/or inappropriately. | Interpretation and conclusions are not supported with evidence. Does not cite evidence. | | | |
| | Accurately uses general academic and/or domain-specific words/phrases to enhance meaning. | Accurately uses general academic and/or domain-specific language that clarifies and supports purpose. L.9-12.6 | Attempts to use general academic and/or domain-specific words/phrases, but some usage is inaccurate or inappropriate. | Does not use general academic and/or domain-specific words/phrases. | | | |
| Produces Clear and Coherent Writing | Uses a sophisticated organizational structure that enhances the response. | Provides organization appropriate to task, purpose, and audience with a clear and coherent opening, body, transitions, and a conclusion that follows from and supports the argument presented. <i>W.9-12.1-4</i> | Provides some elements of organization and/or organization causes confusion. | Little evidence of organization. | | | |
| | Establishes and <i>consistently maintains</i> a formal style and objective tone while attending to the discipline-specific writing norms and conventions. | Establishes a formal style and objective tone while attending to the discipline-specific writing norms and conventions. <i>W.9-12.1d</i> ; <i>2e</i> | Attempts to establish a formal style and objective tone, but one element is insufficient. | Does not establish either a formal style or an objective tone. | | | |
| Demonstrates Command of Written Language Conventions | Demonstrates <i>consistent</i> control of grammar, usage, punctuation, sentence construction, and spelling. | Demonstrates command of the conventions of standard grammar, usage, capitalization, punctuation, spelling, and varied sentence construction. L.9-12.1, 2 | Demonstrates some control of usage, grammar, punctuation, sentence construction, and spelling. | Demonstrates little control of usage, grammar, punctuation, sentence construction, and spelling. | | | |
| | | Occasional errors do not interfere with meaning (for on-demand writing). | Errors interfere with meaning. | Errors inhibit meaning. | | | |